Chapter 1

Meaning, Scope and Framework of Conflict Resolution and Management Education

A. Fajonyomi

Introduction

Conflict is an inherent part of human interaction, arising from differences in needs, desires, values, or interests. Wherever people engage in interactions, whether in personal relationships, communities, organisations, or nations, conflict is bound to occur. The inevitability of conflict stems from the simple fact that human beings are diverse, with distinct perspectives and goals. According to Herbert (2017), conflict emerges when the actions or goals of one party interfere with or are incompatible with those of another. However, while conflict is often viewed negatively, it can also be a source of growth, innovation, and positive change when managed effectively. The challenge, therefore, is not in avoiding conflict but in learning how to address it

Understanding and managing conflict is crucial in various settings, from personal relationships to complex organisational dynamics. In workplaces, unresolved conflicts can lead to decreased productivity, low morale, and high turnover. Similarly, in educational institutions, conflicts among students, teachers, or administrators can create a hostile environment that hinders learning and development. In communities, social or cultural conflicts may escalate into violence if not addressed through peaceful dialogue. The 2018 Technical Report on Conflict and Violence in Nigeria revealed that overall levels of violent conflict increased between 2010 and 2016 (World Bank/NBS, Nigeria, 2018). Unfortunately, the Nigerian government's reliance on a kinetic approach has not been effective in mitigating the situation (Nextier, 2023). This highlights the need for non-kinetic approaches, such as the provision of conflict resolution and management education (CRME), which can complement other efforts. Additionally, the effects of conflict are rarely confined to the originating source but often spread to neighbouring areas, states, or regions, and even impact the global community. A violent conflict in one region of Nigeria, for example, poses a potential threat to national and international peace. As Rev. Fr. Matthew Kukah aptly stated, "In matters of peace and war, there really should be no spectators or bystanders."

In this context, education plays a pivotal role in fostering the skills and strategies needed for effective conflict resolution and management to promote peace. The

untold negative effects of violent conflicts on the educational system, both during and after incidents, make CRME imperative. During wars and violent conflicts, the education sector often suffers the loss of lives—both students and staff—while students may face sexual violence, recruitment as child soldiers, and other forms of exploitation (Kotite, 2012; Talbot, 2013). After conflicts, the pre-existing educational quality deficit becomes even more pronounced due to the destruction of resources during the violence. Furthermore, post-conflict effects, such as the psychosocial trauma experienced by communities and individuals, underline the need for interventions like CRME. UNESCO's recent (2024) acknowledgment of a global rise in conflicts further emphasizes the urgent need for education in conflict resolution.

CRME, as an intervention, involves teaching individuals the processes and techniques necessary to manage and resolve conflicts in nonviolent, constructive ways. It also helps mitigate the social effects of conflict. Through CRME, individuals develop essential skills such as communication, negotiation, problem-solving, and empathy, all of which are key to resolving disputes amicably. Additionally, CRME enables individuals to recognize the underlying causes of conflict, making them adept at preventing or de-escalating conflicts before they become destructive (Johnson & Johnson, 1996). CRME also serves as a safety valve, addressing affective concerns and acting as a buffer against mental health challenges caused by conflict.

Globally, conflict resolution is integral to peacebuilding efforts, particularly in regions affected by political instability or social unrest. Organisations such as the United Nations and various non-governmental organisations have implemented conflict resolution programmes to promote peace and reconciliation in post-conflict areas (Galtung, 2000). Locally, CRME is being incorporated into school curricula, workplace training, and community programs to equip individuals with the tools to address everyday conflicts peacefully. In schools, for example, CRME programmes have been shown to reduce bullying, improve communication among students, and foster a more inclusive, respectful environment (Jones, 2004). In workplaces, training in conflict management contributes to creating more collaborative and harmonious teams, while at the community level, it can help bridge divides and reduce tensions between different groups.

By integrating CRME into various facets of society, individuals are empowered to approach conflicts not with fear or hostility, but with the confidence that differences can be resolved or managed through dialogue, understanding, and mutual respect.

Meaning of Conflict Resolution and Management

Following the understanding of conflict as an inevitable part of human interaction, it becomes necessary to differentiate between the two primary approaches used to

address it: conflict resolution and conflict management (CRME). While these terms are often used interchangeably, they represent distinct approaches to dealing with conflict. In addition to this distinction, understanding the various types of conflicts and the theoretical foundations that inform how conflicts are resolved or managed is essential for creating effective educational frameworks.

Conflict resolution and conflict management are two different methods of addressing conflicts, each with unique objectives and strategies. Conflict resolution aims to eliminate the root causes of conflict, seeking to resolve the disagreement entirely so that the conflict no longer exists. This approach focuses on addressing the underlying issues that led to the conflict, fostering a permanent solution. Conflict resolution often involves mediation, negotiation, and dialogue, where all parties involved work toward a mutually acceptable outcome that satisfies their needs and concerns (Mayer, 2012). On the other hand, conflict management focuses on controlling and limiting the negative effects of conflict without necessarily resolving the root cause. The goal is to reduce the intensity of the conflict to a manageable level, ensuring it does not escalate into destructive behaviour. Conflict management acknowledges that not all conflicts can be resolved completely but can still be managed in ways that prevent harm and encourage cooperation. For example, in workplaces, conflicts between employees may not be entirely resolved, but management strategies like improved communication, task reallocation, or team-building exercises can help keep the conflict from disrupting productivity (Rahim, 2011).

In educational settings, both conflict resolution and conflict management are essential. While conflict resolution strategies might be used to address specific disputes among students (e.g., peer mediation programmes), conflict management approaches can help educators create an environment where potential conflicts are minimised and handled constructively when they arise (Johnson & Johnson, 2005). For instance, a school might implement conflict resolution programmes for bullying cases, but also train teachers to manage day-to-day conflicts by promoting dialogue and respect in the classroom.

Types of Conflicts

Conflicts arise in various forms and across different levels of human interaction, making it important to identify the type of conflict before choosing an appropriate resolution or management strategy. The main types of conflict include:

Intrapersonal conflict occurs within an individual, often involving internal struggles related to values, beliefs, desires, or goals. For example, a student might experience intrapersonal conflict when trying to decide whether to follow their passion for art or pursue a more stable career in engineering. This type of conflict can manifest as stress, anxiety, indecision, suicidal thought or suicide and can impact a person's mental well-being.

Interpersonal conflict occurs between individuals. This is the most common form of conflict and can happen in relationships, workplaces, schools, and social settings. An example might be two colleagues who disagree on how to approach a project or two students who have different opinions on a group assignment. Interpersonal conflicts, when managed well, can lead to deeper understanding and stronger relationships (Wilmot & Hocker, 2011).

Organisational conflict occurs within or between organisations, often due to differences in goals, power dynamics, or competition for resources. For instance, within an organisation, departments might compete for a limited budget, leading to conflict between teams. Between organisations, competition in the marketplace can create tension that may require negotiation or collaboration to resolve.

International conflict involves disputes between countries or global entities and often revolves around issues of power, territory, resources, or ideology. These conflicts can be political, military, or economic in nature. Historical examples include the Cold War between the United States and the Soviet Union, which was managed through diplomacy, economic strategies, and, in some cases, military interventions, though it was never fully resolved.

Each type of conflict requires different resolution or management strategies, and understanding these distinctions is crucial for developing effective educational and training programmes.

Theoretical Foundations of Conflict Resolution and Management

The study of conflict resolution and management is grounded in several key theories that provide frameworks for understanding the causes of conflict and how it can be addressed. Three of the theories are briefly discussed. They are Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument (TKI), John Burton's Human Needs Theory, and Interest-Based Relational (IBR) Approach.

The Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument is a widely used model that identifies five conflict-handling styles along assertiveness and cooperativeness dimensions in a grid with competing, collaborating, compromising, avoiding, and accommodating styles or approaches as cells (Thomas & Kilmann, 1974; 1980). This model helps individuals assess their default approach to conflict and understand which styles might be more effective since there is no-catch all situation. The approach to be adopted is subject to, among others, personality of those involved, hierarchical position of the mediator, interpersonal relationship and the nature of the conflict (McPheat, 2022). For example, in an educational setting, students might be encouraged to adopt a more collaborative or compromising style when working on group projects to promote teamwork and mutual understanding.

John Burton's Human Needs Theory suggests that conflicts arise when basic human needs such as security, recognition, identity and personal development are

not met. In his view, the failure of the state to satisfy the dissatisfaction of the need for identity provides the primary source of most ethno-nationalist intractable conflicts experienced in modern times(Rubenstein, nd). Thus, according to this theory, for conflicts to be resolved, these fundamental needs must be addressed. The implication of the theory in educational space is that it provides ground for CRME programme development from objective formulation to evaluation procedures and processes outside the belief that sources of conflicts are limited to political and cultural differences. As such, programmes that focus on social-emotional learning and respect for diversity can help meet these needs, reducing the likelihood of destructive conflict (Burton, 1990). *The Interest-Based Relational (IBR)* approach emphasises separating the people from the problem and focusing on mutual interests rather than positions. This approach encourages empathy, active listening, and a collaborative spirit, making it an effective method for resolving interpersonal and organizational conflicts. In schools, teachers can use the IBR approach to mediate conflicts between students by helping them identify shared goals, such as a peaceful learning environment, while addressing their individual concerns (Fisher et al., 2000).

In all, the theories discussed provide valuable insights into how conflict resolution and management can be integrated into educational programmes. By grounding CRME in these theoretical frameworks, educators can develop more effective programmes that not only resolve immediate conflicts but also equip learners with lifelong skills for managing disputes in various contexts. Since training institutions are not limited to schools in the principle of lifelong learning and education, training and development units of organisations should invest in training programmes that enhance employees' CRME skills by understanding the theories. Such training can reduce the likelihood of conflicts escalating and enhance peace (Sayadat, 2022), as such knowledge and skills will be handy when working through conflict within and outside the environment.

Scope of Conflict Resolution and Management Education

Given the ubiquitous nature of conflict, the scope of conflict resolution and management education (CRME) has expanded significantly over the past decades, both in terms of its global reach and the range of contexts in which it is applied. Thus, CRME is no longer limited to specialised institutions like diplomacy or legal environments; it now encompasses schools, universities, workplaces, governmental and non-governmental organisations, and communities, contributing to broader peacebuilding efforts worldwide. This section, explores the global trends and practices that have shaped the development of CRME, examines key areas of focus, and highlights its multidisciplinary nature.

Conflict resolution and management education emerged in the mid-20th century in response to growing global concerns about war, civil unrest, and social inequality. Early initiatives were largely driven by peace movements and the efforts of international organisations like the United Nations (UN), which

recognised the need for structured, educational approaches to conflict prevention, resolution and management. Following World War II, the establishment of institutions like the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) played a crucial role in advocating for peace education, realising that defences against war (Bhola, 1989:14) are built in the minds. The CRME is education whose purposes may be threefold: enhancing learning about conflict resolution and management; fostering empowerment of participants, and stimulate participants who are directly or indirectly affected to have interest in and responsibility for contributing to societal peace development as instruments of social justice and community cohesion, well as health ambassadors, trust builders, self-confidence raisers. Inextricably entwined with these various threads and elements are the three dimensions of the learning process: *knowledge and understanding; skills and abilities;* and *attitudes and values.* CRME is not activity but a purposive, inclusive, lifelong learning process that takes place in and out of school, sensitive to the organisational, local, national, regional and or global conflict dynamics, as well as encourages the development of feeling, awareness, understanding, critical thinking and problem-solving skills with values and knowledge needed to resolve and manage conflict, engender sustainable peace and development. CRME emulates Community Education, Peace education, Education in Emergencies.

The learning content of CRME depends on various factors, including age, educational status, the existing structure, and, above all, the learning needs of the target groups. These factors determine the level of knowledge required regarding the analysis of conflict and peace concepts, including highlights on types and causes of conflicts. Accurate conflict analysis enables better understanding and application of appropriate conflict resolution and management theories. Other topics include conflict mapping, systematic questioning, circular questions to shift perspectives, conceptualisation of conflict resolution and management, conventional and non-conventional methods, and indicators and mechanisms for monitoring progress in educational programmes. Integrating perspectives from fields like psychology, sociology, law, political science, and education provides a holistic understanding of conflict and equips individuals with a diverse set of skills for managing it. Van Slyck, et al. (2019) prescribed conflict resolution curriculum-based programmes for adolescent learning to include social skills training, empathy training, anger management, attitudinal investigation ability, active listening, communication skills, and bias awareness ability in order to promote individual behavioural change required for responsible citizenship and systematic change necessary for a safe learning environment.

On methods of delivery, it depends on the learning environment. Within a school setting, conflict management education seeks to teach students essential skills and strategies, enabling them to address differences respectfully and productively. Establish a supportive environment that emphasises communication, problem-

solving, and emotional intelligence, educators integrate conflict management skills through various models to enhance students' social-emotional development and cultivate a constructive, safe learning environment. Schools represent a critical setting for conflict resolution education, as they help shape the interpersonal and social skills of children and adolescents. CRME programmes teach students about empathy, cooperation, and understanding, fostering a school environment that promotes respect and discourages aggression. For example, peer mediation programmes train students to mediate conflicts between their peers, allowing them to resolve disputes constructively while reducing the need for teacher intervention (Johnson & Johnson, 1996). At the university level, conflict resolution education often takes the form of specialised programmes in conflict analysis, peace studies, or diplomacy. These programmes provide students with both theoretical knowledge and practical skills in areas like negotiation, mediation, and arbitration. Skills-based courses that focus on real-world applications—such as simulations of diplomatic negotiations or role-playing mediation scenarios—are a core component of these programmes.

Besides formal school setting, as in early childhood centres, primary schools, secondary schools and higher institutions, CRME takes in communities and work places. Community-based conflict resolution programmes often focus on grassroots mediation, where trained facilitators work to resolve disputes within neighbourhoods or between different cultural or ethnic groups. These programmes are particularly effective in post-conflict societies or areas with significant social tension, as they help rebuild trust and foster collaboration. In workplaces, conflict management training programmes equip employees and leaders with the tools to navigate interpersonal conflicts, promote teamwork, and enhance productivity. Programmes focusing on **diversity and inclusion** are especially relevant in today's globalised work environment, where understanding and appreciating cultural differences are critical to preventing conflict.

Still on methods, these could be influenced by two primary models for conflict resolution and management education: **skill-building models**and **intervention models.** Each model serves a distinct purpose in addressing conflicts and equipping learners with the necessary capabilities to either manage conflicts on their own or intervene in others' disputes. Skill-building models focus on teaching individuals the tools and strategies to **manage their own conflicts.** This model emphasises proactive learning, where students and participants develop key competencies such as emotional regulation, communication, and problem-solving. The primary aim is to empower individuals to handle disputes without external intervention. The intervention model focuses on **educators and leaders intervening**to help resolve conflicts. This approach recognises that not all conflicts can be solved independently, and some require a mediator or leader to step in and guide the resolution process. Intervention models can be more structured, involving trained mediators or conflict resolution professionals, such as counsellors (Fajonyomi, 2023), who work with the parties involved to reach an

agreement. The models underscore the significance of practical tools in conflict resolution education. Mediation and negotiation techniques are at the core of conflict resolution, helping individuals navigate disputes and reach agreements. Role-playing and simulations are commonly used to provide learners with the opportunity to practise these skills in controlled settings. For example, a simulation might involve students playing the roles of different parties in a workplace conflict, with each participant negotiating or mediating to reach a resolution. These practical exercises help participants internalise the lessons learned and develop the confidence needed to handle real-world conflicts (Fisher, Ury, & Patton, 2000).

On a final note, evaluating the effectiveness of CRME programmes is crucial to ensuring their success. Evaluation methods may include pre- and post-programme assessments to measure changes in participants' attitudes, skills, and behaviour. Surveys, interviews, and reflective essays can be used to gather feedback from participants on their learning experiences. Moreover, in schools and organisations, tracking conflict incidents before and after the implementation of conflict resolution programme can provide quantitative data on the programme's impact. A decrease in the number of conflicts, or a change in how conflicts are managed, can serve as indicators of the programme's effectiveness (Jones, 2004).

Case Studies: Three Countries' Experiences in Conflict Resolution and Management Education

Peace Education and Conflict Resolution as Value Education in Australian Schools

Australia's education system plays a vital role in promoting peace and conflict resolution, particularly within its multicultural society. The government has integrated peace education and conflict management into school curricula, aiming to foster social cohesion and manage diversity in response to growing cultural tensions and the arrival of refugees from conflict zones like Sudan, Iraq, and Afghanistan. Central to this effort is the *Framework of Values Education in Australian Schools*, which promotes shared values as the foundation for peace and harmony. Developed through research in 69 schools, this framework outlines nine core values, including respect, responsibility, care, and the uniquely Australian concept of a "fair go." These values guide schools in cultivating social harmony while addressing justice and environmental sustainability. Innovative methods such as Socratic Circles, where students engage in dialogue with minimal teacher input, help break down cultural and religious tensions, fostering mutual understanding. Another approach, Student Action Teams, allows students to take the lead in addressing local issues, promoting leadership, collaboration, and civic responsibility. Research by Lovat and Toomey (2008) indicates that explicit values-based education significantly enhances student engagement, inclusive behaviour, and responsible conduct. Schools that model and teach shared values

experience improved relationships, a positive school climate, and greater student resilience and social skills. While schools alone cannot achieve good governance, Australia's values-based education contributes to shaping future citizens who embrace shared values and promote a peaceful, inclusive society. This holistic educational approach not only enhances student achievement but also builds stronger communities, underscoring the role of education in fostering social cohesion and peace.

Empowering Niger's Farmers and Pastoralists Through Non-Formal Learning and Conflict Resolution Education

In Niger, insecurity and inter-communal violence, worsened by competition for resources, threatened the livelihoods of farmers and pastoralists. To address these challenges, the UN Peacebuilding Fund (PBF), in partnership with UNICEF and UNHCR, launched initiatives promoting dialogue and conflict resolution through non-formal education. A key component was the creation of over 350 Dimitra Clubs across 60 villages, engaging more than 10,000 members, focusing on women and youth. These clubs became informal hubs where members discussed community challenges and developed solutions through structured dialogue. This inclusive and participatory process empowered marginalized groups, particularly women, to mediate conflicts and take ownership of local solutions. In Fabidji, Dimitra Clubs played a crucial role in resolving farmer-herder disputes over land and water. Abdoulaye, a local farmer, noted how the clubs fostered self-reliance in managing conflicts. Women, previously excluded from decision-making, became key mediators, reducing tensions and improving harvests. Neighbouring communities, inspired by Fabidji's success, adopted the model. Mariama, a young woman from a nearby village, shared how Dimitra Clubs helped women address harassment, creating a safer environment. These initiatives have strengthened local capacities, promoting peaceful coexistence and empowering communities to better manage insecurity, climate shocks, and economic challenges. United Nations. (nd). Peacebuilding (Niger Success Story).

Conflict Resolution Education in Post-Conflict Northern Ireland: A Path to Reconciliation

Northern Ireland's education system has been both a tool of division and a means of reconciliation following the 1998 Good Friday Agreement, which ended decades of conflict known as "The Troubles." This ethno-nationalist conflict primarily divided the Protestant Unionist and Catholic Nationalist communities, and education was deeply implicated in reinforcing these societal divisions. Most schools in Northern Ireland remain separated along religious lines, with the majority of Protestant and Catholic children attending different schools. In the aftermath of the peace agreement, integrated education was promoted as a way to bridge these divides, bringing children from both communities together in shared

schools. However, despite its promise, only a small percentage of students attend integrated schools, with most remaining in segregated institutions. As a compromise, shared education programs were introduced, allowing students from different schools to engage in joint activities without full integration. Though valuable in fostering cross-community contact, critics argue that shared education is an "integration-light" approach, falling short of genuine structural reform. Citizenship education was also introduced, designed to teach young people about Northern Ireland's troubled history and promote democratic values. However, this initiative has often been marginalized, with many teachers lacking the training to handle sensitive historical and political topics effectively. While Northern Ireland has made progress in using education as a tool for conflict resolution, the ongoing segregation of schools and limited scope of reform demonstrate that these efforts are incomplete. Although education has contributed to the peacebuilding process, it cannot yet be considered a full success story, as deep-seated divisions persist. Long-term commitment to more comprehensive reform is essential for education to play a truly transformative role in fostering reconciliation in Northern Ireland (Murphy, 2016).

From the three cases presented, one quick conclusion is that education could be bi-polar (Tomlinson and Benefield, 2005), that is, it could present two faces as 'conflict resolver' or 'manager' and as 'conflict instigator'. So, every educational programme in the name of peace education, conflict resolution education, or value education and in whatever guise should be interrogated whether it is for 'good' or 'bad' (Bush and Salterelli, 2000).

Challenges and Opportunities in Conflict Resolution and Management Education

Conflict resolution and management education has evolved significantly over the years, but it continues to face a number of challenges that can hinder its implementation and effectiveness. At the same time, new opportunities are emerging, offering pathways for growth and innovation in this field. This section will explore some of the common challenges faced by educators and institutions involved in conflict resolution education, as well as the opportunities for expansion and improvement.

Challenges

Despite the increasing recognition of the importance of conflict resolution education, several barriers remain that can prevent its widespread adoption and success. These challenges are often related to cultural resistance, limited resources, and the difficulty of maintaining neutrality in conflict resolution settings.

Resistance to Conflict Resolution in Certain Cultures or Sectors

One of the primary challenges in conflict resolution education is the resistance to its implementation in certain cultures or sectors. In some societies, conflicts are viewed as personal matters, and attempts to mediate or intervene may be perceived as intrusive or disrespectful. Cultural norms that favour avoidance or suppression of conflict, as seen in many collectivist societies, may make it difficult for individuals to embrace open conflict resolution practices (Hofstede, 2011). For example, in countries where hierarchy and authority are deeply ingrained in social structures, it can be challenging to introduce collaborative conflict resolution methods, as deference to authority may take precedence over direct confrontation.

Similarly, in sectors like corporate settings or military organisations, where competition and power dynamics play a central role, conflict resolution education may encounter resistance. In such environments, conflicts are sometimes seen as a natural part of maintaining control or asserting leadership, making it difficult to encourage alternative conflict resolution approaches such as mediation or negotiation. Overcoming this resistance requires culturally sensitive approaches that respect local values while promoting the benefits of conflict resolution in improving collaboration and communication.

Insufficient Resources or Training Opportunities

Another significant challenge is the lack of resources and training opportunities for conflict resolution education, especially in low-income communities or regions facing social instability. Conflict resolution programmes often require funding for curriculum development, educator training, and instructional resources. Without adequate financial support, schools and organisations may struggle to implement effective conflict resolution programmes. In many educational systems, especially in developing countries, conflict resolution is not a priority due to competing demands for limited resources. Teachers and staff often lack the training necessary to mediate conflicts effectively or to teach students conflict resolution skills. Additionally, many institutions lack access to professional development programmes or certification opportunities that would enhance their capacity to deliver conflict resolution education (Harris, 2004).

Maintaining Neutrality and Fairness in Conflict Resolution Education

Maintaining neutrality is essential for any conflict resolution process, yet it remains a challenge, particularly in educational settings where power dynamics, biases, and social hierarchies can come into play. Educators may struggle to remain impartial, especially when conflicts involve students or staff with whom they have personal relationships or existing biases. Moreover, conflicts that are embedded in larger issues of inequality, such as racial or gender discrimination, may be difficult to address fairly without addressing the systemic nature of the

conflict. Ensuring neutrality also involves creating an inclusive space where all voices are heard and respected, regardless of social status, cultural background, or personal beliefs. In diverse classrooms or workplaces, achieving this level of impartiality can be challenging, but it is critical for fostering trust in the conflict resolution process (Stover, 2007).

Opportunities for Effective Service

While these challenges are significant, conflict resolution education also presents numerous opportunities for growth, particularly in response to global trends and technological advancements. These opportunities can help expand the reach and effectiveness of conflict resolution programmes and meet the growing demand for conflict resolution skills in a variety of contexts.

Increasing Demand for Conflict Resolution in Global Contexts

One of the most promising opportunities for growth in conflict resolution education is the increasing global demand for these skills, driven by diverse factors such as workplace diversity, international diplomacy, and the rise of global interdependence. As businesses and organisations become more multicultural, the need for conflict resolution skills that address cross-cultural disputes has become more pressing. Global companies require employees and leaders who are capable of navigating complex cultural dynamics and resolving conflicts that arise from misunderstandings or differences in values. In **international diplomacy,** the demand for conflict resolution skills is growing as countries seek to resolve disputes without resorting to violence or coercion. Organisations like the United Nations have increasingly focused on training diplomats and peacekeepers in conflict resolution techniques, recognising that peacebuilding and mediation skills are critical for resolving conflicts in fragile states (United Nations, 2020). Additionally, conflict resolution education is becoming increasingly important in addressing global crises such as refugee resettlement, migration, and environmental conflict. These complex issues often involve multiple stakeholders with conflicting interests, and the ability to mediate and negotiate solutions is essential.

Integration of Technology

Another key opportunity for expanding conflict resolution education lies in the integration of technology, particularly in the use of e-learning platforms and conflict simulation tools. E-learning provides an accessible and scalable way to deliver conflict resolution education to individuals and institutions worldwide, particularly in regions where in-person training may be limited or impractical. Online platforms can offer courses on conflict resolution theory and practice, interactive role-playing exercises, and real-time simulations where learners can practice conflict mediation and negotiation in a virtual environment. These simulations allow participants to experience different types of conflict scenarios,

from interpersonal disputes to large-scale organisational conflicts, and practice using various resolution techniques in a safe, controlled setting (Johnson & Johnson, 2005). More especially, **Artificial intelligence (AI)** and virtual reality (VR) technologies also have the potential to revolutionise conflict resolution education by creating immersive, realistic conflict situations. AI-driven conflict resolution tools could analyse patterns of communication and suggest resolution strategies, while VR could provide users with the opportunity to practice conflict resolution skills in lifelike environments.

Enhancing the Role of Peacebuilding in Conflict Resolution Education

Finally, there is a growing opportunity to enhance the role of peacebuilding within conflict resolution education. Peacebuilding goes beyond resolving specific disputes, it also focuses on addressing the root causes of conflict, promoting long-term social cohesion, and preventing future conflicts. Integrating peacebuilding concepts into conflict resolution education involves teaching skills such as empathy, collaborative problem-solving, and restorative justice. These skills are essential for fostering an environment where conflicts are addressed not just as isolated incidents but as part of a broader effort to build a culture of peace and understanding. Peace education initiatives, which focus on promoting nonviolence, equality, and justice, can play a crucial role in shaping conflict resolution education. These programmes emphasise the importance of addressing structural inequalities, promoting dialogue, and fostering a culture of peace, making them a valuable addition to conflict resolution curricula (Salomon & Nevo, 2002).

Conclusion

Conflict Resolution and Management Education (CRME) plays a crucial role in fostering peaceful interactions in various contexts, from schools and workplaces to communities and global platforms. By distinguishing between conflict resolution, which aims to resolve disputes entirely, and conflict management, which seeks to control and mitigate the negative effects of conflict, CRME provides essential tools for handling inevitable human disagreements constructively. The scope of CRME has expanded significantly, encompassing educational institutions, workplaces, community settings, and international diplomacy. Its multidisciplinary approach incorporates insights from psychology, sociology, law, and political science, providing individuals with a comprehensive set of skills to address conflicts effectively. Furthermore, CRME serves not only to equip participants with knowledge and skills but also to empower them to contribute to social justice, peacebuilding, and sustainable development. While CRME faces challenges such as cultural resistance, limited resources, and difficulties in maintaining neutrality, it also offers significant opportunities. The growing demand for conflict resolution skills, advancements in technology like e-learning and virtual reality, and the integration of peacebuilding into conflict

education present pathways for expanding its reach and effectiveness. As societies become more diverse and interconnected, CRME will continue to be essential for promoting cooperation, understanding, and long-term peace.

References

Afshaneh. (2023). *Role of education in conflict management in Afghanistan*. *Journal of Conflict Management*.

Burton, J. (1990). *Conflict: Human needs theory*. St. Martin's Press.

Bush, K. D., & Saltarelli, D. (2000). *The two faces of education in ethnic conflict: Towards a peacebuilding education for children*. UNICEF, Innocenti Research Centre.

Deutsch, M. (2006). Cooperation and conflict: A personal perspective on the history of the social psychological study of conflict resolution. In *Handbook of conflict resolution: Theory and practice*.

Fisher, R., Ury, W., & Patton, B. (2000). *Getting to yes: Negotiating agreement without giving in*. Penguin Books.

Fisher, R., & Ury, W. (1981). *Getting to yes: Negotiating agreement without giving in*. Penguin Books.

Galtung, J. (1971). A structural theory of imperialism. *Journal of Peace Research, 8*(2), 81–117.

Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam Books.

Harris, I. M. (2004). *Peace education theory*. McFarland.

Herbert, S. (2017). *Conflict analysis*. https://gsdrc.org/topic-guides/conflict-analysis/core-elements/

Hofstede, G. (2011). Dimensionalising cultures: The Hofstede model in context. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture, 2*(1).

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1996). Conflict resolution and peer mediation programs in elementary and secondary schools: A review of the research. *Review of Educational Research, 66*(4), 459–506.

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2005). Essential components of peace education. *Theory Into Practice, 44*(4), 280–292. https://www.jstor.org/stable/3496972

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2005). *Peace education in schools: Creating a culture of peace*. Interaction Book Company.

Jones, T. S. (2004). Research in conflict resolution education: Toward the transformation of school culture. In *Conflict resolution in education: Building healthy relationships and strong communities*.

Kigotho, W. (2023). Universities should work harder at peace-building. *University World News (Africa Edition)*.

Kotite, P. (2012). *Education for conflict prevention and peacebuilding: Meeting the global challenges of the 21st century*. UNESCO/IIEP. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000217106

Mayer, B. (2012). *The dynamics of conflict: A guide to engagement and intervention*. Jossey-Bass.

Michael, R. V. S., Linden, L. N., Rebecca, H. F., & Lucille, A. C. (2019). Peace education and conflict resolution curricula for middle school students. *Scholarly Journal of Psychology & Behavioral Science, 2*(3). https://doi.org/10.32474/SJPBS.2019.02.000138

Mnookin, R. (2000). *Beyond winning: Negotiating to create value in deals and disputes*. Harvard University Press.

Murphy, K. (2016). Educational reform in divided societies: Northern Ireland and Bosnia. *Peace Insight*.

Nextier. (2023). *Annual review of Nigeria's violent conflict profile*. Special Report 2022.

Rahim, M. A. (2011). *Managing conflict in organizations*. Transaction Publishers.

Rubenstein, R. E. (n.d.). Basic human needs: The next steps in theory development. *International Journal of Peace Studies*. https://www3.gmu.edu/programs/icar/ijps/vol6_1/Rubenstein.htm

Salomon, G., & Nevo, B. (2002). *Peace education: The concepts, principles, and practices around the world*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Sayadat, N. (2022). Review of the Thomas Kilmann conflict resolution model: What lessons for organizational conflict management can be drawn from this model? *Technical Report*. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.17893.41445

Stover, E. (2007). The witness and the historian: Competing narratives of justice at the international criminal tribunal for the former Yugoslavia. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review, 30*(1), 108–132.

Talbot, C. (2013). *Education in conflict emergencies in light of the post-2015 MDGs and EFA agendas*. NORRAG Working Paper #3. http://www.norrag.org/en/publications/working-papers.html

Thomas, K. W., & Kilmann, R. H. (2008). *Thomas-Kilmann conflict mode instrument*. In W. J. Reddin (Ed.), *Managerial effectiveness*. McGraw-Hill.

UNESCO. (2024). *Learning for lasting peace: Building and sustaining peace through education*. https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/learning-lasting-peace-building-and-sustaining-peace-through-education

UNICEF. (2011). *Peace education and conflict resolution in schools: A case for South Sudan*.

United Nations. (2020). *Training for peacekeepers: United Nations conflict resolution and peacebuilding initiatives*. UN Peacekeeping Division.

United Nations. (nd). Peacebuilding (Niger Success Story). https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/content/niger-success-story

World Bank/NBS, Nigeria. (2018). *Conflict and violence in Nigeria* (Technical Report).